UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/497,071 02/02/2000		Linda I, Hoffberg-Borghesani	LIH-14	7065
90150 Ostrolenk Faber	7590 08/06/201 r LLP	EXAMINER		
1180 Avenue of		SALCE, JASON P		
New York, NY	10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2421	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2421

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/497,071	HOFFBERG-BORGHESANI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
JASON SALCE	2421

	J <i>F</i>	ASON SALCE	2421
The MAILIN	G DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
	ment filed on <u>25 April 2012</u> is conside FR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amend		
☐ 1. Amendme ☐ A. Ame ☐ B. New	ARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AME nts to the specification: ended paragraph(s) do not include ma paragraph(s) should not be underline er	rkings.	BE NON-COMPLIANT:
	presented on a separate sheet. 37 CF er	FR 1.72.	
A. The "Ani B. The	nts to the drawings: drawings are not properly identified in notated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR practice of submitting proposed drawi wing amended figures, without markin er	1.121(d). ng correction has been elim	inated. Replacement drawings
A. A co B. The C. Eac of e num (Pre	nts to the claims: complete listing of all of the claims is no listing of claims does not include the t h claim has not been provided with the ach claim cannot be identified. Note: ber by using one of the following state eviously presented), (New), (Not entere claims of this amendment paper have er: (See Continuation Sheet).	ext of all pending claims (ince proper status identifier, and the status of every claim mus identifiers: (Original), (Cued), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	d as such, the individual status ust be indicated after its claim rrently amended), (Canceled), rawn-currently amended).
5. Other (e.g	., the amendment is unsigned or not si	igned in accordance with 37	CFR 1.4):
For further explanation	n of the amendment format required by	y 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP	§ 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR	FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:		
filed after allowan	no new time period if the non-complee. If applicant wishes to resubmit the amendment must be resubmitted.		
correction, if the n (including a subm amendment filed v Quayle action. If a	one month, or thirty (30) days, which on-compliant amendment is one of the ission for a request for continued example within a suspension period under 37 Cany of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked endment in compliance with 37 CFR 1	e following: a preliminary am mination (RCE) under 37 CF FR 1.103(a) or (c), and an a d, the correction required is	endment, a non-final amendment R 1.114), a supplemental mendment filed in response to a
	time are available under 37 CFR 1.13 an amendment filed in response to a		nt amendment is a non-final
Abandonm filed in response	Ply respond to this notice will result in: ent of the application if the non-complonse to a <i>Quayle</i> action; or of the amendment if the non-compliant	iant amendment is a non-fin	
/Jason Salce/		8/2/2012	

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences affirmed the rejection(s) against claim(s) 155-161, 174, 176 and 177, but reversed all rejections against claim(s) 162-173, 175 and 178-193. The Board of Patent Appeals also affirmed the rejection against claim 177 regarding the 112 2nd rejection, the Board of Patent Appeals further entered a new grounds of rejection regarding dependent claims 175 and 178.

Applicant has further amended claims 155 and 177, however this is not permitted after reconsideration by the BPAI. MPEP 1214.01 states that when the Board makes a new rejection under 37 CFR 41.50(b), the appellant, as to each claim so rejected, has the option of reopening prosecution or request rehearing. Appellant has already chosen to request rehearing. Furthermore, Applicant has not attempted to amend dependent claims 175 and 178, which have been rejected by the BPAI. MPEP 1214.01 further states in section II that in regards to submitting a request for rehearing, "By proceeding in this manner, the appellant waives his or her right to further prosecution before the examiner".

The Examiner further notes that MPEP 1214.07 states that "sometimes an amendment is filed after the Board's decision which does not carry into effect any recommendation made by the Board and which presents a new or amended claim or claims. In view of the fact that prosecution is closed, the appellant is not entitled to have such amendment entered as a matter of right. However, if the amendment is submitted with a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), prosecution of the application will be reopened and the amendment will be entered. See MPEP § 706.07(h), paragraph XI."

Therefore pursuant to MPEP 1214.01, 1214.06 and 1214.07, the amendment has been deemed non-responsive. If Applicant wishes to further prosecute claims 155-161, 174, 176, 177 in view of the Affirmance issued by the BPAI and claims 175 and 178 based on the rejection entered by the BPAI, Applicant must reopen prosecution.